

REMARKS

Claim Rejections

Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending application 10/315,257 in view of French (U.S. 4,824,107).

Double Patenting

To overcome the outstanding provisional rejection under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, following issuance of a patent for one of the present invention and copending Application No. 10/315,257, Applicant hereby agrees to file a terminal disclaimer for the remaining unpatented application.

Summary

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. Should any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, it is urged that Applicant's local attorney be contacted at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 28, 2005

By:


Bruce H. Troxell

Reg. No. 26,592

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
5205 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1404
Falls Church, Virginia 22041
Telephone: 703 575-2711
Telefax: 703 575-2707